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**Abstract**

Religion and spirituality are areas of diversity and multiculturalism that have yet to be comprehensively addressed in most mental health training programs. Without this type of training, many practitioners lack the competence and confidence to engage in spiritually competent care–clinical practice that recognizes the importance of religion and spirituality in people’s identity, worldview, meaning-making and, therefore, their psychological well-being. Emerging research on treatment outcomes and client preferences, as well as professional ethical mandates, support the need for training in spiritual competencies for mental health care. To address the gap between current professional training and the needs and realities of clinical practice, we have developed an online training program to assist practitioners in building their competency and comfort levels in integrating religion and spirituality into treatment. Spiritual Competency Training in Mental Health (SCT-MH) is a seven hour asynchronous, online program consisting of eight modules. The modules are designed to develop basic competency in 16 empirically-derived spiritual competencies in mental health. The content was derived from numerous instructional materials and peer-reviewed publications, with input from leading experts in the field of spirituality and mental health. It is a multidisciplinary program, allowing mental health providers from any discipline and orientation to participate. The material is applicable for working with clients with a wide range of mental health issues from diverse religious and spiritual backgrounds. In this manuscript, we will discuss how the program was developed, what it entails, who it was developed for, and future efforts to test it empirically.
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A Novel Training Program for Mental Health Providers in

Religious and Spiritual Competencies

The goal of professional mental health training across helping professions is to develop competence in the delivery of effective and ethical clinical care. Graduate training programs for mental health care providers are expected to establish a certain level of competence upon graduation (American Psychological Association [APA], 2015; Commission on Accreditation for Marriage and Family Therapy Education [COAMFTE] , 2016; Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs [CACREP], 2016; Council on Social Work Education [CSWE], 2015). One area of shared clinical competence is multiculturalism. As we learn more about various layers of ethnic, cultural, regional, age, gender, and religious/spiritual diversity and intersectionality, their impact on clinical care and outcomes, and potential biases related to these areas of diversity, it is important that our training programs evolve to better prepare us to be multiculturally competent practitioners. Without this type of training, many mental health practitioners lack the ability to engage in spiritually competent care and engage in it less frequently (Oxhandler, Parrish, Torres, & Achenbaum, 2015; Rosmarin, Green, Pirutinsky, & Kay, 2013). Lack of competence and confidence in including the religious or spiritual dimensions of clients lives, in both assessment and treatment, jeopardizes the effectiveness of care we are able to provide for our clients.

Research has demonstrated that integrating clients’ religion/spirituality (RS) into mental health treatment can improve clinical outcomes (Koenig, King, & Carson, 2012; Koenig, Pearce, Nelson, Shaw, Robins et al., 2015; Worthington et al., 2011). For example, clients may use RS resources to help cope with life challenges and stressors, which can also be used to help treat mental health disorders (Pearce, 2016). Conversely, at times, certain forms of RS may exacerbate mental health problems (Pargament, 1997; 2007). Providers need to be aware of the potential for both of these outcomes when conducting therapy.

With 78% of Americans reporting religion as somewhat or very important in their lives (Pew Research Center, 2015), religion and spirituality may be relevant in therapy for a large majority of clients. Indeed, many clients report a desire for RS issues to be integrated into their mental health treatment (Bannister, Park, Taylor, & Bauerle, 2015; Leitz & Hodge, 2013; Oxhandler, Ellor, & Stanford, in press; Post, Wade, & Cornish, 2014; Rose et al., 2001; Stanley et al., 2011). Some clients, particularly those with higher levels of religiosity, report being concerned about how their therapists might react to their RS and prefer their therapist to have a religious affiliation (Cragun & Friedlander, 2012; Gregory, Pomerantz, Pettibone, & Segrist, 2008). Thus, although many clients want RS issues to be part of treatment, this does not ensure that clients will bring up RS, or that they will seek out mental health treatment in the first place, as they may be concerned about therapist bias or judgment.

Finally, clinicians are ethically mandated to demonstrate multicultural competence, of which religion and spirituality is one domain. Professional associations of psychologists, counselors, social workers, and marriage and family therapists include RS in their definitions of multiculturalism, and their guidelines require training in multicultural competence (APA, 2002; ACA, 2005; National Association of Social Workers, 2017). In addition, each profession that provides mental health treatment (e.g., psychology, social work, counseling, marriage and family therapy) requires its graduate training programs to abide by standing accreditation standards that include attention to the importance of respecting various areas of client diversity, including race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion/spirituality, age, culture, socioeconimic status, disability, and more (APA, 2015; ACAREP, 2016; COAMFTE, 2016; CSWE, 2015). Yet, complicating matters is the fact that, to date, there is no agreed upon set of spiritual competencies, training guidelines, or methods of evaluating such competency acquisition for mental health providers (Hodge, 2018; Oxhandler & Pargament, 2018; Vieten et al., 2016).

Clearly there are many compelling reasons for integrating religion and spirituality into treatment. However, mental health providers have tended to ignore or undervalue the role of clients’ religion and spirituality in therapy (Hathaway, Scott, & Garver, 2004). One of the main reasons for this may be that most mental health providers have little to no education or training in RS issues in clinical practice (Brawer, Handal, Fabricatore, Roberts, & Wajda-Johnston, 2002; Hage, Hopson, Siegel, Payton, & DeFanti, 2006; Oxhandler & Pargament, 2014; Oxhandler et al., 2015; Schafer, Handal, Brawer & Ubinger, 2009; Schulte, Skinner, & Calibom, 2002.) For example, only 25% of psychology (Schafer, Handal, Brawer & Ubinger, 2009) and 30% of social work (Moffatt & Oxhandler, 2018) training programs provide even one course in RS. Most APA-accredited psychology training programs still rely on informal and unsystematic sources of learning to train students about RS diversity (Vogel, 2013). The lack of training is not just found among psychologists and social workers, but also among those in other mental health professions. For example, marriage and family therapists and counselors have reported low levels of training and a desire for more education on RS in clinical practice (Carlson, Kirkpatrick, Hecker, & Killmer, 2002; Oxhandler & Parrish, 2018). Another potential reason for not including clients’ RS in treatment may be tied to the fact that mental healthcare providers tend to have very different RS beliefs and practices than the general population, often being less religious (Oxhandler, Polson, & Achenbaum, 2017; Shafranske & Cummings, 2013). Currently, intrinsic religiosity is the strongest predictor of practitioners’ views and behaviors regarding integrating clients’ RS (Oxhandler et al., 2015; Oxhandler, 2017).

To address the gap between professional training and the needs and realities of clinical practice, we have developed an online training program to assist practitioners from varied mental health professions in building basic competence and comfort levels in integrating religion and spirituality into treatment. The competencies addressed in the training program emerged from prior empirical work on spiritual competencies in mental health (Vieten et al., 2013; Vieten et al., 2016). These researchers identified and verified 16 basic, key spiritual competencies through a comprehensive literature review, series of working groups, and surveys with experts and clinicians They proposed these competencies as the minimum attitudes, knowledge, and skills mental health clinicians across helping professions should possess in order to be considered competent in this area (Vieten & Scammell, 2015). To guide the development of this training program, in addition to using the work on competencies above, we also had extensive discussions with experts in the field. The result of these efforts is the online program called Spiritual Competency Training in Mental Health (SCT-MH). In this article, we will discuss how the program was developed, what it entails, who it was developed for, and future efforts to test it empirically.

**Methods**

**Participants**

We designed this multi-disciplinary program to be relevant to mental health clinicians of all fields, including psychiatry, psychology, marriage and family therapy, clinical social work, and professional counseling, and to be appropriate for all levels of training, including graduate students, interns, and residents. Given this is a basic competency training course, clinicians who have completed an academic graduate or post-graduate course or full-day workshop in religion or spirituality may find that their competencies change less compared to those without this background.

**Training Delivery Method and Platform**

We chose to deliver this program online rather than in person to increase access to and convenience for a larger number of professionals. Offering the program online also allowed us to lower our costs and increase dissemination opportunities beyond the grant-funded timeline. The online platform that best met our training needs and objectives was edX, the largest nonprofit and open source provider of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC). The edX platfrom was created in 2012 by Harvard University and MIT with the mission to “deliver high quality learning opportunities” to anyone in the world. It currently offers online courses from some of the world’s top universities and institutions, and does so without cost to the participant.

In the edX online course environment, participants are provided with a well-designed, structured, yet self-paced learning opportunity. Similar to traditional learning environments, there is course content along with readings, activities, and assessments. The difference is that in the online environment, these resources, activities, and assessments are all housed in one location (i.e., the edX platform), which can be accessed at anytime from anywhere with an internet connection. For this training program, the edX platform was hosted by the Graduate School at the University of Maryland, Baltimore (UMB).

**Core Features of SCT-MH Program**

There were four core features that guided the development of the SCT-MH training program. First, the curriculum was designed to be at a basic skill level. The program aims to foster basic RS competencies with respect to the attitudes, knowledge, and skills that make for effective mental health care. The program is geared to that large majority of mental health professionals who have little, if any training, in this area, and do not necessarily intend to specialize in spiritually integrated care.

Second, SCT-MH is multidisciplinary. It focuses on core RS competencies relevant to effective mental health care in general and common to mental health disciplines and therapeutic orientations (e.g., CBT, psychodynamic, interpersonal). Attempts to target the specific interests of particular disciplines (e.g., psychiatric issues that arise in prescribing medications to RS patients or the role of religion/spiritual in marital counseling) are beyond the scope of this basic training approach.

Third, the training is grounded in state-of-the-art science and practice. It builds upon advances in knowledge gleaned from scientific studies of RS and mental health across disciplines. A variety of recent instructional materials on spiritually integrated psychotherapy and spiritual competencies were used to develop the curriculm. We also sought out input and feedback from a number of experts in the field.

Fourth, the SCT-MH program is based on sound pedagogical principles. It makes use of proven adult-learning instructional methods, particularly within an asynchronous (prerecorded and self-paced), online platform. These include goal-setting, engaging activities, multi-modal presentations, and self-testing.

**Curriculum Development**

The course directors (MP and KP) created the curriculum by drawing upon instructional materials (e.g., books, papers, seminars, workshops) on spiritually integrated psychotherapy and spiritual competencies that have been disseminated over the last 15 years (e.g., Doehring, 2015; Griffith, 2010; Pargament, 2007; Pearce, 2016; Richards & Bergin, 2005; Vieten & Scammell, 2015). We also reached out to over 20 experts in the field for their input on the most important material that they felt should be covered in a basic competency training program. We then had five consultants and two co-investigators (HO and CV) provide detailed feedback on all of the material in the eight modules. This feedback was used to revise the curriculum. The content was then given to our instructional design team who provided further edits. The design team helped to present the content in a way that enhanced learning and retention and that captured the audience’s attention and interest. For example, written case studies were turned into video clips demonstrating the case with visual and auditory features.

The curriculum was designed using best practices in education. This included developing and using learning objectives to drive the choice of content and activities in each of the modules (rather than letting content drive learning objectives). We also chose activities based on adult learning principles (Taylor & Parsons, 2011). These include ample opportunities for engagement with material (e.g., self-reflection questions with text boxes for responses, self knowledge checks), using short videos rather than lengthy PowerPoints to enhance connection and interest, and providing a variety of activities and examples to reinforce major concepts and to show how these concepts can be applied in clinical settings.

Each of the eight modules can be completed in approximately 45–60 minutes. We chose a total of six to eight hours of training time because this roughly correlates to a day’s worth of in-person training. We believe this is sufficient time to achieve basic competency in this area. We also hope that the program whets providers’ appetite for further advanced training. To enhance motivation to complete the training program, participants will have the opportunity to earn CE or CME credits upon completion.

**Results**

**Structure of the Modules**

Each of the eight modules begins with a brief video introduction in which one of the course directors provides an outline of the module. This is followed by a list of the module’s objectives and an overview of the activities that will be presented in the module. Most of the modules consist of three sections of content. Each section consists of a combination of materials, including text on the screen, video presentations, case studies (text and video), and audio recordings. Each module also includes a self-reflection question with a textbox in which participants can type their response, as well as several knowledge check questions at the end of the module to assess their comprehension of the material. At the end of the program, we provide a comphrehensive list of other resources for those interested in learning more about this topic.

The training program was designed to develop basic competency in 16 empirically-derived spiritual competencies for mental health (Vieten et al., 2013). The 16 competencies fall into one of three categories: attitudes, knowledge, or skills. In Table 1, we provide a list of the competencies that the content of the program was designed to develop (See Table 1).

**Description of the Training Modules**

The following is a brief description of the topics covered in each of the eight training modules. Table 2 provides information on what competencies were addressed in each module (See Table 2).

**Module 1: Introduction and Orientation.** In the first module, participants are oriented to the goals and learning objectives of the training program to build motivation and set realistic expectations. Clear instructions are provided on how to use the edX software, effectively engage with the material, and complete learning activities and assessments. We define spiritually integrated mental health care and outline empirically-based rationales for providing spiritually integrated care. Participants then learn what is and is not required to provide spiritually integrated care. Finally, challenges to this type of care are introduced, including emotional-sensitivity, ethical issues, and personal values and biases. As with all modules, this module includes a self-reflection question related to one of the module’s topics and concludes with several multiple choice knowledge check questions.

**Module 2: Understanding Spirituality.** In Module 2, religion and spirituality are defined and common stereotypes and misconceptions are challenged. National survey results on Americans’ spiritual identification and analysis of future trends are reviewed, including the rise of the “nones.” The varieties of religion and spirituality are introduced (e.g., values, beliefs, practices, experiences, relationships, and motivations) and a brief comparison is provided of world religions, atheism, cults, spiritual/not religious, and cafeteria-style religion. The module concludes with a discussion of the ways spirituality develops over the lifespan and the forces that influence this process: biological, psychodynamic, sociocultural, and theological.

**Module 3: Guiding Principles for Spiritually Integrated Mental Health Care.** In this module, participants learn how therapists’ RS competencies and biases influence treatment and patient outcomes. Spirituality in the therapeutic relationship is reviewed, including issues with clients who share the same religious tradition as the therapist, come from a very different religious tradition than the therapist, are very religious, are atheist/agnostic, or are religiously victimized. Finally, participants learn about the dangers of spiritual rejectionism or proselytizing in therapy, and why respecting spiritual diversity is critical for effective and ethical care.

**Module 4: Distinguishing between Helpful and Harmful Types of Spirituality**. In Module 4, participants learn how to discern ways that religion or spirituality can be part of the solution or part of the problem in mental health treatment. Life-affirming forms of spirituality are defined and examples of spiritual resources provided. This is followed by a discussion of life-limiting forms of spirituality and examples of spiritual problems and struggles. The complex interplay between religion, spirituality, and mental health problems is reviewed. Participants learn how spirituality can both shape and be shaped by mental health problems. Issues related to diagnosing religious and spiritual problems using the DSM-V criteria are discussed. Finally, tools for distinguishing spiritual experiences from psychopathology are provided.

**Module 5: Assessing Spirituality in Mental Health Care.** This module focuses on the assessment of spirituality as a multi-step process, which can be interwoven into the larger process of treatment. Participants learn spiritual dialogue skills to form an effective therapeutic alliance. A discussion follows on the importance of placing clients within their larger social, cultural, and religious context when conducting a spiritual assessment and planning spiritually integrated care. Definitions of and distinctions between initial, implicit, and explicit spiritual assessment are provided. The module concludes with information about formal spiritual assessments, including the use of quantitative measures, spiritual screening, and structured interviews.

**Module 6: Mobilizing Spiritual Resources.** This module focuses on concrete ways that therapists can help clients access their spiritual resources. First, therapists learn general guidelines for integrating spiritual resources into therapy. Then, a discussion follows on how therapists can cultivate and mobilize spiritual resources in therapy. This discussion draws upon the growing body of empirical literature on spiritually integrated therapies. Illustrations are provided demonstrating how therapists can use these mobilization skills in clinical practice.

**Module 7: Addressing Spiritual Problems.** In this module, participants learn about spiritual problems and struggles, as well as a rationale and tools for assessing spiritual problems in therapy. Various types of spiritual problems are addressed, including intrapsychic spiritual conflict, interpersonal spiritual conflict, supernatural conflict, spiritual extremism, and spiritual rigidity. Therapists are given resources and tools to effectively address spiritual problems in therapy. Emphasis is placed on helping therapists understand their limits and the resources that are available to them through consultation, supervision, collaboration with clergy, and referral.

**Module 8: Putting it All Together, Challenges, and Future Directions.** In the final module, participants learn about the ethical challenges of spiritually integrated mental health care. Next, several cutting-edge approaches to spiritually integrated care are discussed. Then, participants have the opportunity to synthesize and apply their knowledge from all eight modules to a hypothetical clinical case study by engaging in analysis, assessment, and treatment planning decision making. The module concludes with suggested next steps for participants interested in furthering their competencies in spiritually integrated mental health care.

**Discussion**

In the next phase of this project, the SCT-MH program will be empirically tested to examine to what extent it is a feasible, helpful, and effective way to share knowledge and increase competencies in spirituality and mental health care among mental health care providers from diverse professions. To do so, we will assess pre-post changes among program participants with respect to their basic spiritual competencies (attitudes, knowledge, and skills) in mental health care. We predict that participating in this program will lead to improvements in providers’ attitudes, knowledge, and skills as they relate to spirituality and mental health. We will also evaluate participants’ levels of program satisfaction and gather their concrete suggestions for ways to improve the training program. This quantitative and qualitative data will be used to refine the program.

As far as we know, this empirically-driven, online training is the first of its kind. The program has a number of strengths. The content was derived from numerous peer-reviewed publications with input from leading experts in the field of spirituality and mental health. It is designed to be multidisciplinary, allowing mental health providers from any discipline and therapeutic orientation to participate. The material is applicable for working with clients from diverse religious and spiritual traditions. Finally, the online format, with its ease of access and relatively low expense, has the potential to reach a large audience of mental health professionals who are interested in training in this area with the flexibility and convenience to complete the 6-8 hours of training from wherever the participant has internet access. The program is limited in that it offers only a basic level of training and results on its effectiveness are still forthcoming.

**Conclusion.** Our long-term plan is to disseminate the program widely, providing access to licensed providers and trainees[[1]](#footnote-1). Our hope is that this program helps to reduce the gap between standard graduate and post-graduate training and the realities of clinical practice. In doing so, we hope to better equip providers to address this relatively neglected but vital area of clinical work and contribute to the continued improvement of mental health care.
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